

now, the remainder of it. Mr. Chairman, I'm going to try to say it as clearly as I can. We're not talking about war where soldiers were fighting soldiers. We're talking about babies and women who had been herded into ghettos, literally within walls and forbidden to leave, then put in trucks and cattle cars and transported to gas chambers. That's what we're talking about. Those are the kind of people buried at Bitburg. Senator Landis talked about the lesson to be learned from the President's visit. Will they remember that the whole theory of Nazism was based on a master race concept and everybody else was to be destroyed and enslaved? That is not war. That is genocide. The Nazis themselves used the term extermination and said all of the Jews in Europe must be exterminated. Hitler said he would like to exterminate all of the people in Russia and they did everything they could to carry it out. This is not war we're talking about. Senator Landis's words were, the lesson would not be one of glory and honor. Maybe not for Reagan, but for Helmut Kohl it will be glory, honor and a political coup. That's what Kohl is interested in and he has brought the American President to heel. He has a man denying by his visit to this place with all that he knows, denying all of the principles that this country supposedly believes in that young and old have fought and died down through the years thinking they were fighting and dying for. I should remind Senator Landis that the message and the lesson are not being lost to those neo-Nazi groups in this country who take strength and solace from the romanticization and sentimentalizing about the Nazis and especially the SS. Nazi memorabilia is very popular in this country. Some of these groups that call themselves neo-Nazis know only one principle of Nazism and that's white supremacy.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute left on Labedz's time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: They don't even understand it, but that is sufficient to tie them to this mystique of the Nazis and President Reagan is going there to try to bring a clean thing out of an unclean thing. His goals are not the same as Kohl's. He has done more to bring about divisiveness in this country than any other single act. When he kicked the farm representatives out of Washington when they went there, that didn't divide the country. When he broke the air traffic controller's union who supported him, that didn't divide the country. Am I to understand that all of these